

## Solutions 1

**1.** We may define a continuous function  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \rightarrow Z$  by increasing the length of each vector by 1, i.e.  $f(\mathbf{x}) = (|\mathbf{x}| + 1)\mathbf{x}/|\mathbf{x}|$ . The inverse map  $g: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  is given by decreasing the length of each vector by 1, i.e.  $g(\mathbf{y}) = (|\mathbf{y}| - 1)\mathbf{y}/|\mathbf{y}|$ . It is easy to check that  $g = f^{-1}$  by evaluating  $g \circ f$  and  $f \circ g$  (try this). Hence  $f: \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \rightarrow Z$  is a continuous bijection with continuous inverse and so a homeomorphism.

**2.** We may define a continuous function  $f: S^1 \rightarrow T$  by scaling, i.e.  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}/(|x_1| + |x_2|)$  and a function  $g: T \rightarrow S^1$  by scaling  $g(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{y}/|\mathbf{y}|$ . It is easy to check that  $g = f^{-1}$  by evaluating  $g \circ f$  and  $f \circ g$ . Hence  $f: S^1 \rightarrow T$  is a continuous bijection with continuous inverse and so a homeomorphism.

**3.** (a) Notice that each point  $x \in [a_1, b_1]$  can be written  $x = a_1 + (x - a_1)$ . We construct a homeomorphism  $f: [a_1, b_1] \rightarrow [a_2, b_2]$  by translating by  $a_2 - a_1$  and scaling by  $(b_2 - a_2)/(b_1 - a_1)$  so that  $f(x) = a_2 + \frac{b_2 - a_2}{b_1 - a_1}(x - a_1)$ . (Notice that since  $x \in [a_1, b_1]$ ,  $0 \leq x - a_1 \leq b_1 - a_1$  and so  $0 \leq f(x) - a_2 \leq b_2 - a_2$ , i.e.  $f(x) \in [a_2, b_2]$ .) This is clearly continuous and the inverse function  $g: [a_2, b_2] \rightarrow [a_1, b_1]$  is given by the same formula with  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  interchanged and  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  interchanged. You can check by explicit calculation that  $g$  is inverse to  $f$ .

(b) A homeomorphism  $(0, \pi/2) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is given by  $t \rightarrow \tan t$  and a homeomorphism  $(0, 1) \rightarrow (0, \pi/2)$  is given by scaling  $x \rightarrow \pi x/2$  (a special case of part (a)). Hence composing these functions gives a homeomorphism  $f: (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, \pi/2) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  given by the formula  $f(x) = \tan(\pi x/2)$ . The inverse of  $\tan: (0, \pi/2) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is given by the principal value of  $\tan^{-1}$  and so again composing this with a scaling map gives the inverse of  $f$ : the function  $g: (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \pi/2) \rightarrow (0, 1)$  given by  $g(y) = 2 \tan^{-1}(y)/\pi$ . Again you should check by explicit calculation that  $g$  is the inverse of  $f$ .] A homeomorphism  $g: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is given by  $g(x) = \log_e(x)$  with the inverse given by  $\exp$ .

**4.** The construction of a homeomorphism  $f: D_r^n(\mathbf{a}) \rightarrow D_{r'}^n(\mathbf{a}')$  uses the same method as for Question 3(a). Each point  $\mathbf{x} \in D_r^n(\mathbf{a})$  can be written  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{a} + (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a})$ . We construct  $f$  by translating the centre of the ball by  $\mathbf{a}' - \mathbf{a}$  and by scaling the radial vector  $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}$  by  $r'/r$ . This gives the formula  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{a}' + (r'/r)(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a})$  for  $f$ . This is clearly continuous and if  $|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}| < r$  then  $|f(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{a}'| < r'$  so that, for  $\mathbf{x} \in D_r^n(\mathbf{a})$ ,  $f(\mathbf{x}) \in D_{r'}^n(\mathbf{a}')$ . The inverse  $g: D_{r'}^n(\mathbf{a}') \rightarrow D_r^n(\mathbf{a})$  is given by the same formula but with  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{a}'$  interchanged and  $r$  and  $r'$  interchanged. Again you should check that  $g$  is the inverse of  $f$ .

**5.** We can construct a homeomorphism from the cylinder to the annulus by mapping the circle at height  $x_3$  to the circle of radius  $1 + x_3$ . This leads to the function given by  $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (1 + x_3)(x_1, x_2)$  which is clearly continuous. (By definition of the cylinder,  $(x_1, x_2) \in S^1$ , the unit circle centre the origin. Multiplying by  $1 + x_3$  gives a point on the circle of radius  $1 + x_3$  centre the origin.)

To prove that  $f$  is a bijection (which is clear from the construction) we can do the inverse  $g$  given by  $g(y_1, y_2) = (y_1/|y|, y_2/|y|, |y| - 1)$ . (Scaling by  $1/|y|$  gives a point on the unit circle. For the inverse you want the circle of radius  $|y|$  in the annulus to go to the circle at height  $|y| - 1$  in the cylinder.) You should check by explicit calculation that  $g$  is inverse to  $f$ .

**6.** A homeomorphism from the semicircle to the closed interval is given by the projection  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1$ . The inverse is given by  $f^{-1}(x) = (x, \sqrt{1 - x^2})$  (the formula for the second coordinate comes from the fact that the value lies on the circle and has a non-negative second coordinate).

This can then be generalized to a homeomorphism  $f: \{\mathbf{x} \in S^n \mid x_{n+1} \geq 0\} \rightarrow D^n$  given by

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \text{ with inverse } f^{-1}(\mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{y}, \sqrt{1 - |\mathbf{y}|^2}).$$

**7.** The proof is the same as the proof that  $D^n$  is path-connected (Proposition 1.7). Given two points  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \in A$  a path  $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow A$  between them is given by  $\gamma(t) = (1 - t)\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{x}'$ . This is continuous from basic analysis and it lies in  $A$  because  $A$  is convex.

The converse is false since, for example, the circle  $S^1$  is path-connected but is not convex.

**8.** Suppose that  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous surjection and  $X$  is path-connected. To deduce that  $Y$  is path-connected we must show that for

general points  $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}' \in Y$  there is a path in  $Y$  from  $\mathbf{y}$  to  $\mathbf{y}'$ . To do this we first observe that given such points, since  $f$  is a surjection, there are points  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \in X$  such that  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{y}$  and  $f(\mathbf{x}') = \mathbf{y}'$ . Now, since  $X$  is path-connected, there exists a path in  $X$  from  $\mathbf{x}$  to  $\mathbf{x}'$ , say  $\sigma: [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ . But now  $f \circ \sigma: [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$  is a path in  $Y$  (since the composition of continuous functions is continuous) and  $(f \circ \sigma)(0) = f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{y}$  and similarly  $f \circ \sigma(1) = \mathbf{y}'$  so that  $f \circ \sigma: [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$  is a path in  $Y$  from  $\mathbf{y}$  to  $\mathbf{y}'$  as required. Hence  $Y$  is path-connected.

**9.** (a) The function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^1$  given by  $f(\theta) = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$  is a continuous surjection and  $\mathbb{R}$  is path-connected (a convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ ) and so, by Theorem 1.9,  $S^1$  is path-connected.

(b) Spherical polar coordinates give a continuous surjection  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow S^2$  by

$$f(\theta, \phi) = (\cos \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \phi).$$

The set  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is path-connected since it is convex. Hence  $S^2$  is path-connected by Theorem 1.9.

[This argument can be generalized for all spheres  $S^n$  for  $n \geq 1$ . We can define a continuous surjection  $f_n: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow S^n$  by induction on  $n$ . The standard parametrization of the circle by  $(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$  gives  $f_1$ . For the inductive step, if  $f_k$  is defined then we can parametrize  $S^{k+1}$  by  $f_{k+1}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{k+1}) = (f_k(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) \cos \theta_{k+1}, \sin \theta_{k+1})$ . Hence, since  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is path-connected so is  $S^n$ .]

**10.** (a) Using the notation in the question and in the proof of Proposition 1.17, we prove that  $(g_* \circ f_*) = (g \circ f)_*: \pi_0(X) \rightarrow \pi_0(Y)$  by evaluating each function as follows. For  $[x] \in \pi_0(X)$ ,  $(g_* \circ f_*)([x]) = g_*(f_*([x])) = g_*([f(\mathbf{x})]) = [g(f(\mathbf{x}))] = [(g \circ f)(\mathbf{x})] = (g \circ f)_*([x])$ .

(b) To evaluate the function  $(I_X)_*: \pi_0(X) \rightarrow \pi_0(X)$  induced by the identity function  $I_X: X \rightarrow X$  we simply use the definition. So for  $[x] \in \pi_0(X)$ ,  $(I_X)_*[x] = [I_X(\mathbf{x})] = [x]$  which means that  $(I_X)_* = I_{\pi_0(X)}: \pi_0(X) \rightarrow \pi_0(X)$ .

[The properties of  $\pi_0(X)$  in (a) and (b) are called the *functorial properties*. Many constructions in topology satisfy these properties.]

(c) Now, if  $g: Y \rightarrow X$  is the inverse of a homeomorphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , we have  $g \circ f = I_X$  and  $f \circ g = I_Y$ . Hence  $g_* \circ f_* = (g \circ f)_*$  (by (a))  $= (I_X)_* = I_{\pi_0(X)}$  (by (b)) and similarly  $f_* \circ g_* = I_{\pi_0(Y)}$  so that  $g_*$  is the inverse of  $f_*$ .

**11.**  $[0, 1]$  has precisely two cut points of type 1 (the two end points),  $[0, 1)$  only has one, whereas  $(0, 1)$  doesn't have any. Hence they are topologically distinct since homeomorphic spaces have the same number of cut points of each type.

**12.** The proof is more or less the same as the proof of Theorem 1.20. Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a homeomorphism. If  $\{p, q\} \subset X$  is an  $n$ -pair, then  $\{f(p), f(q)\} \subset Y$  is also an  $n$ -pair since  $f$  induces a homeomorphism  $X \setminus \{p, q\} \rightarrow Y \setminus \{f(p), f(q)\}$  which therefore have the same number of path-components by Proposition 1.17. Hence  $f$  induces a bijection between the  $n$ -pairs of  $X$  and the  $n$ -pairs of  $Y$  and so the number of  $n$ -pairs is the same.